## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA

ROCKING G, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

CASE NO. 2010-CA-001255

SUMTER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,

*	•			
	efen	a	ดก	Ŧ.

## ORDER ON PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIOARI

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court on the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari, having reviewed the records of this case and all documents pertinent to the Petitioner's Petition, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises finds as follows:

1. Petitioner seeks certiorari review of the Sumter County Board of County

Commissioner ("BOCC")'s decision to approve the staff recommendation of Sumter County

Planning and Development to deny Petitioner's request to consider Petitioner's property as

having a vested right for limerock mining under Sumter County Ordinance No. 90-12. In order
to be considered a mine with vesting rights under Ordinance No. 90-12(D3), an existing mine
should have been registered with the county within 90 days of the effective date of that
ordinance, which was September 19, 1990. Petitioner concedes that it did not register with the
county in a timely fashion, but argues that it has been an existing mine since the early 1960s.

Petitioner asserts that the BOCC ignored Petitioner's property rights, did not provide a single
public policy concern to support its decision, departed from the essential requirements of the
law, failed to provide procedural due process and the decision is not supported by any competent

substantial evidence. Petitioner brings this action pursuant to Sumter County Code Section 13-236(d), which provides that a party adversely affected by any decision of any officer, department, board, commission or bureau of the board of county commissioners, including the board of county commissioners, may appeal any such final action by petition for writ of certiorari for review to the Sumter County Circuit Court.

- 2. Certiorari review of a board's decision must be in accord with <u>City of Deerfield Beach v. Vaillant</u>, 419 So.2d 624, 626 (Fla. 1982). The Circuit Court must review the record from the commission decision and determine whether: (1) procedural due process has been afforded; (2) whether the essential requirements of the law have been observed; and (3) whether competent substantial evidence supports the commission's judgment. <u>Fla. Power & Light Co. v. City of Dania</u>, 761 So.2d 1089 (Fla. 2000).
- 3. The "competent substantial evidence" standard of review is tantamount to legally sufficient evidence. This standard requires the reviewing court to defer to the agency's superior technical expertise and special vantage point in such matters. The issue is not whether the agency's decision is the "best" decision or the "right" decision or even a "wise" decision, for these are technical and policy-based determinations properly within the purview of the agency. The circuit court has no training or experience-and is inherently unsuited-to sit as a roving "super agency" with plenary oversight in such matters. The sole issue before the court is whether the agency's decision is lawful. <u>Fla. Power & Light Co. v. City of Dania</u>, 761 So.2d 1089, 1092 (Fla. 2000).
- 4. In this case, Petitioner was afforded procedural due process of law as Ordinance No. 90-12( D53) specifically states that any mine that fails to register within the time period specified shall lose any vested rights or grandfathering for the operation of such mine. The

BOCC did not depart from the essential requirements of the law, and the BOCC's decision was lawful as it was based upon Sumter County Ordinance No. 90-12. Accordingly, this Court finds that Petitioner's Petition does not show a prima facia case for relief. See Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.630(d); see also Conner v. Mid-Florida Growers, Inc., 541 So.2d 1252, 1256 (Fla. 2d DCA 1989) (outlining the procedure for filing extraordinary writs in the circuit courts). As a result, this Court will not issue a Summons in Certiorari.

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby;

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: That the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari is DISMISSED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers, at Bushnell, Sumter County, Florida, on this

6 of November, 2010.

William H. Hallman, III

Circuit Judge

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished to the following individuals by U.S. Mail/Courthouse box delivery this \_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2010.

James E. Wade, III, Esquire 116 Bushnell Plaza Bushnell, FL 33513

Derrill L. McAteer, Esquire The Hogan Law Firm P.O. Box 485 Brooksville, FL 34605

Judicial Assistant